

Tabular Statement showing cases heard by the Commission during last one year

S.No.	Name & date of hearing	Recommendation	Action Taken/Implementation
1.	<p>File No. S/PN/20/0030/09-NCM Shri Parvinder Singh, Punjab regarding discrimination in grant of promotion by the Railway Authority Hearing dated 20.04.2011</p>	<p>In CWP No. 124 of 2011, Union of India through Dy. Financial Adviser and Chief Accounts Officer, Rail Coach Factory, Kapurthala vs. Shri Raman Kumar, Asst. Financial Advisor, Department of Rail Coach Factory, Kapurthala and Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh, the Bench consisting of Learned Justices Shri M.M. Kumar and T.P.S. Mann J ruled as follows: “Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the fact that fair stand has been taken by both the learned counsel, we are of the view that the direction issued by the Tribunal is unsustainable in law and accordingly, order dated 07.12.2010 (P11), is set aside. However, in the fresh process for filling up the sole post of AFA, by promotion, applicant-respondent No. 1 and all other candidates who competed earlier alone shall be permitted to participate. If applicant-respondent No. 1 succeeds in selection and is accordingly appointed then for the purposes of seniority his appointment shall relate back to the date of his earlier appointment, which was made on 12.8.2006. However, we make it clear that he would not be entitled to any monetary benefit except the right of notional fixation of pay. The whole selection process he carried out within a period of four months.” From the above it is clear that present petitioner Shri Parvinder Singh’s allegation of malafide has not been taken into account in the judgment simply because Shri Parvinder Singh was not party. Objection of Shri Parvinder Singh is also not sustainable because he was not party in the CAT petition either for the reasons that he has explained, but which nonetheless was the subject of</p>	<p>In compliance of the decision notice of the hearing dated 4.5.2011, M/o Railways, Govt. of India vide letter dated 9.6.2011 informed the Commission that Shri Parvinder Singh, petitioner has not appeared in the written examination of the 30% LDCE for promotion to group 'B' post for AFA in accounts department held by RCF/Kapurthala on 18-19 May 2011 and has deprived himself of opportunity. The copy of the letter was forwarded to the petitioner for his comments, but the petitioner has not responded and the matter was closed on 05.10.2011.</p>

challenge under Writ. Nevertheless, the fact that Shri Raman Kumar the petitioner before the High Court, has continued to hold office as AFA even though the selection had been declared null and void, because of the nature of financial control of the office occupied by him, could give rise to suspicion of corrupt intent. But investigation in this direction is admittedly, not within the mandate of this Commission. Nevertheless, we have however, found as discussed above that the promotion process leaves grounds for malafide exercise of authority. This stems from the fact that the marking is made under three heads:

1. Paper, which is a written examination
2. Viva Voce
3. Service Performance

The last of these being simply a subjective assessment, would clearly render the process one of which the conclusion can be held in doubt. It is also in this very sector that Shri Raman Kumar has scored over petitioner, Shri Parvinder Singh despite the fact that in the written test which can be expected to be a test of the grasp of subjects by examinee, the latter scored well ahead of Shri Raman Kumar, having scored 93 out of 150 marks in paper 1 as against Shri Raman Kumar's 90 out of 150.

Under the circumstances, whereas the Railway Board is expected to comply with the order of the Punjab and Haryana High Court, as highlighted in the decision quoted above, we also advise that the process of selection be revised in order to leave no room for exercise of any animus that could cripple the process in its ability to decide the matter on merit alone. This would call for a review of procedure as adopted in order not only to ensure fairness of selection but also to ensure transparency in order to demonstrate to the employees of the Railway Board that the process is indeed fair. In this case, therefore, it is expected that Shri Parvinder Singh will be given the full benefit not only of his service, but also in taking into account the arguments that he has

		placed before this Commission. A report on action will be sent to Ms Esther Kar JS of this Commission within twenty working days of receipt of this Decision Notice Reserved in the hearing, this decision is announced on 4th May, 2011.	
2.	<p>File No. B/UP/80/0042/11 Shri Ashok Kumar Rahul, Ghaziabad regarding disappearance and kidnapping of his brother Sangharatan.</p> <p>Hearing dated 26.05.2011</p>	<p>The Commission accepted the offer of DGP for an exhaustive police investigation and report, both on the conduct of the accused Inspector and also re-investigation into the missing person. In the meantime care would be taken to ensure protection of the complainant and family. The Commission also recommended that DGP run programmes to sensitise police personnel to dealing with minorities' problems. It was recommended by Vice Chairperson that a minority's cell be instituted in the DFGP's office for this purpose.</p> <p>Further action, if any will follow the receipt of the two reports promised by Shri Karamveer Singh.</p>	<p>In compliance of the decision of the Commission, a detailed report dt. 25.7.2011 was received from the SSP, Ghaziabad inter alia stating that departmental proceeding initiated against Shri Yogesh Pathak the then SHO, Shahibabad. The matter was re-investigated and supplementary charge sheet was filed under SC/ST Act on 23.7.2011 and the search for Shri Sangharatna is still continuing. Instructions were given to SP, ASP and SHO to keep vigil for security of the complainant and his family. Shri Rizwan Ahmad, IPS, ADGP, Human Rights has been nominated to co-ordinate law and order grievances relating to minorities. The Commission vide letter dated 7.2.2012 requested SSP to intimate present status of the proceedings against SHO. Report is awaited.</p>
3.	<p>M/GO/33/001/2009-NCM Shri Abdul Matin Carol, General Secretary, Madrassa Raza –e- Mustafa, Masjid Goasiya Ahla</p>	<p>The Commission decided to recommend to the Government of Goa to specify a time frame for completion of the restoration of the existing graveyard at Pajifond, and ensure adherence to the same, and intimate the Commission within</p>	<p>The Commission in its meeting held on 6.9.2011 decided to monitor implementation of the plan fortnightly proposed by</p>

	<p>Sunnatul Jamat, Goa regarding allotment of land for qabristan.</p> <p>Hearing dated 24.5.2011 and 04.07.2011</p>	<p>a period of 10 days of receipt of the Commission's directions. The Commission also decided to undertake a visit to Margao by Vice Chairman Dr. Sangliana and Ms. Imam to ascertain the suitability of the location of the <i>Qabristan</i> allotted by the state government and also to meet local Muslims and Church Leaders, to determine public opinion in the matter.</p>	<p>the Govt. of Goa. No report has been received so far.</p>
4.	<p>File No. C/GJ/10/0018/11-NCM</p> <p>M/s Thomson Educational & Charitable Trust, Rajkot regarding the difficulties and hardships being faced by the Minority Christian School viz Thomson English School run by the Trust in Rajkot.</p> <p>Hearing dated 05.07.2011</p>	<p>The Commission advised the Thomson English School, Rajkot to apply afresh for school recognition to the Primary Education Authority, as already directed by the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat, and the authorities are advised to consider the application sympathetically, allowing time to the school to meet the statutory requirements. A copy of the orders passed on the application of the School will be submitted to the Commission. The Education Department will in the meantime allow the school, which is indeed managed by the Christian minority, to continue functioning without disrupting studies. The School will also apply to the National Commission for Minority Educational Institutions for recognition of the minority character of their school, with a copy of the application endorsed to this Commission. The Commission directed the Government of Gujarat to provide the Commission with a list of schools recognized as schools for minorities in the state of Gujarat.</p>	<p>In compliance of the decision notice of the Commission, the Education Department, Govt. of Gujarat vide report dated 3.2.2012 inter alia stated that after inspection report submitted by the Asstt. Education Inspector, there were deficiency and violation of rules and regulations of Gujarat Primary Act 1947, the recognition of the school was rejected by the District Education Officer vide order dated 9.11.2011. The appeal filed by the School Management, the school management was rejected vide order dated 13.1.2012. In view of this order the minority status was also not granted.</p>
5.	<p>File No. M/DL/20/0073/11</p> <p>Shri Rahisuddin, JLA 76, Delhi Public Library, H-Block, Near Main Market, Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi-110023 regarding discrimination and causing harassment by the officers of Delhi Public Library by not releasing his salary from February</p>	<p>The Commission recommended that DPL in view of the peculiar circumstances of the case will release the salary of the petitioner. The absence of the petitioner at the Central Library may be decided sympathetically in view of his continually attending duty at the office of the Director, under the mistaken impression that he was so posted. Subsequent to the hearing we have been informed by the petitioner that he has joined in DPL as advised.</p>	<p>In compliance of decision notice the DPL informed the Commission that the salary of the petitioner had been released from May 2011 onwards and he is getting monthly salary regularly. The salary with regard to</p>

	<p>2011 and issuing memos on false pretext. Hearing dated 06.07.2011</p>		<p>unauthorized absence period, it is inform that the matter will be decided by the Independent Expert Committee after investigation as per rules. The Commission decided to close the case.</p>
6.	<p>File No.M/DL/26/24/08-NCM Dr. Syed Ahmed, Medical Officer (Unani),CGHS, New Delhi regarding non-payment of subsistence allowance for the period of his suspension and non-regularization of his ad-hoc service w.e.f 1.1.1987 with consequential benefits and promotion. Hearing dated 18.07.2011</p>	<p>The Commission recommended that the Department of Health and Family Welfare will file the records within a week pertaining to the promotion of Dr. Ahmad and to determine whether the order of promotion dated 4.7.2005 was served on the petitioner, and if not established to proceed with his promotion as due.</p>	<p>In compliance of the decision notice, Department of AYUSH vide letter dated 30.12.2011 informed the Commission that the advice of DOP&T with regard to promotion of Dr. Ahmad from MO to SMO has been accepted. Further department of AYUSH vide letter dated 7.1.2012 and 28.2.2012 requested Director Admn., CGHS to provide documents for holding DPC for promotion of Dr. Syed Ahmad to CMO (Unani) with a copy for information to this Commission.</p> <p>No information received till date from CGHS</p>
7.	<p>File No. M/DL/20/0098/11 Shri Mohammed Sageer S/o Mohammed Jameel r/o H.No. 110/1, Gali No. 3A, Durga Park, Nasirpur, Dwarka, New Delhi-110045 regarding illegal termination from services by the management without any reason and without due process of law and withholding his all legal dues.</p>	<p>The Commission decided to remand the case back to the Labour Commissioner, who will then appoint an officer of the rank of Dy. Labour Commissioner to make efforts to bring reconciliation between management and petitioner, in case the petitioner and the management fail to arrive at a mutual reconciliation in the first instance.</p>	<p>The recommendation of the hearing was forwarded to the Labour Commissioner, GNCT, Delhi. In view of the report of Dy. Commissioner (Labour), the Commission decided to close the case under intimation to the petitioner.</p>

	Hearing dated 28.09.2011		
8.	<p>File No.C/DL/60/0242/10-NCM Shri Radhakanta Tripathy, Advocate, Delhi regarding alleged discrimination to Christian converted from un-touchable Hindus in burial grounds Hearing dated 24.10.2011</p>	<p>The Commission made the following recommendations:- (i) RCMC Society will consider including members from converts to Christianity from Scheduled Caste in their Society subject to abiding by their rules and regulations. (ii) The Government of Tamil Nadu / District Collector in future while allocating land for burial grounds/graveyards will ensure that it is made subject to the condition that no discrimination on ground of caste/religion/creed is practiced. (iii) The representatives of RCMC management and Bishop might sit together within thirty days of receipt of this Decision Notice and consider ways and means to remove any symbol of discrimination within the community.</p>	<p>The recommendation of the hearing was forwarded to the Chief Secretary, DGP, Tamil Nadu, Bishop Antony Devetta and President, RCMC Society. Report is awaited.</p>
9.	<p>M/DL/30/0074/11 Shri Zahrul Hassan, Manager, Anjumane-E-Haideri Badi Karbala, Ali Ganj, Jor Bagh, New Delhi regarding allegations of harassment and assault against SHO, PS Lodhi Colony and other police officials Hearing dated 02.11.2011</p>	<p>The Commission recommends as follows: (i) that the NDMC file its report within 15 days of the receipt of the recommendations, with regard to encroachment on the public road of the L & DO. (ii) The Management of Anjuman-e-Haideri will keep the Delhi Wakf Board informed of any repair, addition or alteration proposed, and will apply for permission from NDMC as required under the bye laws of the NDMC to avoid any future interference. (iii) On its part, if the particular project to be undertaken by the Anjuman does not fall under any of the categories specified in the bye laws, NDMC will simply clear these accordingly. In other cases a considered decision will be taken, as it is agreed that it is in the interest of all parties that the heritage be conserved at its best. (iv) The local police administration take care to avoid the blemish of animus against a section of the community, which as has become apparent in the processing of this case, it unfortunately carries.</p> <p>The Commission will revisit the question of injuries allegedly inflicted on complainant Shri Zahrul Hasan only after any decision, if any, of the Hon'ble Court on the issue.</p>	<p>In compliance of decision notice, the DDA vide letter dated 22.12.2011 informed the Commission that the encroachment on DDA land at site of 5 and partly on site no.7 has been removed and no action is pending on the part of DDA. The NDMC vide letter dated 6.1.2012 informed the Commission that the joint inspection of the site has been carried out by the team of officers of L&DO, DDA and NDMC on 17.10.2011 as per inspection report there is no road between two block namely J&K, there is open space as per Layout Plan of the area, out of which small portion measuring 408 Sq. Mtrs. is lying vacant. The L&DO, Ministry of Urban</p>

			Development, Govt. of India is the owner of the land.
10.	<p>F.No.M/20/0017/09-NCM Smt. Noor Fatima w/o Late Sh. Mohd. Nazir regarding grant of family pension and payment of other retirement benefits. Hearing dated 09.11.2011</p>	<p>The Commission advised that the status of the case be reported to NCM by 20.11.2011 after crediting the amount of pension to Smt. Noor Fatima. It was also decided that the District Magistrate will verify from the District Education Officer regarding settlement of dues of petitioner's late husband and send a report after consultation with petitioner, detailing the settlement made, and if any amount included in the settlement remained to be credited to her.</p> <p>The Commission wishes to place on record its displeasure with Secretary, Dep't of Human Resource Development, Govt. of Bihar for failure to be present despite arrangement for videoconference. This comment be communicated to the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.</p>	<p>The brief record of the hearing was forwarded to the Principal Secretary and District Magistrate, Siwan for Action Taken Report on 30.11.2011 followed by reminder dt. 07.02.2012. ATR is awaited.</p>
11.	<p>M/JH/20/0015/10 Smt. Farhana Khaton, Superintendent, District Education, Lohardaga regarding harassment and mental torture by the Dy. Commissioner, Godda, Jharkhand. Hearing dated 22.11.2011</p>	<p>The Commission recommends that the enquiry initiated with regard to the exhibition of photographs of the petitioner on T.V. Channel be completed and a copy of the same submitted within 3 months from the date of hearing, to this Commission. The respondent was also advised to treat the petitioner at par with other similarly placed officers and recommends that she be given charge of the DPOs post forthwith as per norms in practice in the State.</p>	<p>The Govt. of Jharkhand in compliance of the recommendation vide letter dated 02.12.2011 assigned duty of District Programme Officer (DPO). The first part of the decision is awaited.</p>
12.	<p>File No. FTS/3977/2011-NCM Press clipping regarding murder of Sister Valsa John, Christian Nun Jharkhand. Hearing dated 22.11.2011</p>	<p>The Commission recommends as follows: DGP, Jharkhand Shri G.S. Rath will, within ten days of date of receipt of this decision notice, inform the Commission of the current status of the case.</p> <p>Chief Secretary Shri SK Chaudhury will intimate to the Commission by January 15, 2012 the progress of proceedings of the Investigating Officer, with specific reference to whether the actual accused have been identified/apprehended.</p>	<p>Report dt.28.11.2011 received from IG, Human Rights, Jharkhand inter alia informed that after investigation 07 people have been arrested by the police and remanded to judicial custody. Further investigations are in progress under the guidance of Zonal IG, Dumka and SP, Pakur. It is further informed</p>

			that the murder of Sister Valsa John has not resulted from her belonging to minority community or having religious overtones.
13.	<p>File No. Misc/OR/81/20/08 File No. C/OR/80/0006/11 Misc. complaints received in the VC's office Hearing dated 8.12.2011</p>	The Commission decided to send the points raised during the hearing to the State Government with the advice that they send their report to this Commission within thirty days of the date of receipt of this decision notice, alongwith the comments of the State Government.	The brief record of the hearing was forwarded to the Chief Secretary, Odisha on 21.2.2012. Report is awaited.
14.	<p>File No. M/16/81/4/06-NCM Smt. Zubaida Begum regarding compensation of loss of life and rehabilitation in Jamshedpur Riot (Jharkhand) Hearing dated 28.12.2011</p>	The Commission after hearing the petitioner and based on the earlier discussion with the representative of the company on 14.7.2011 finds that the plea for pending relief and rehabilitation for the riots of 1979 is unsustainable. However, because the family is noticeably in distress and has been in the employment of TISCO, it was decided that in keeping with the Company's offer, to ask the Company to provide a job to one child of the petitioner as a rehabilitation measure and save the family from hardship. Representative of the petitioner Shri W.H. Khan was asked to Fax a CV to this Commission which has been received subsequent to the hearing. The CV of Afzal Hussain Khan, s/o Waris Hussain Khan and petitioner Zubaida Begum, as appended to this decision, may be forwarded to the Tata Steel Ltd, Jamshedpur, Jharkhand for offer of employment as discussed in the hearing of 14.7.2011.	The recommendation of the Commission in the hearing was forwarded to the Managing Director, Tata Steel Ltd. on 17.1.2012. A report dated 16.2.2012 has been received from the Tata Steel Ltd. reiterating their stand of 15.3.2011 submitting their inability to any of further demands of the petitioners including the recommendation with regard to employment of Mohd. Afzal Hussain Khan for employment in the Company.

15.	<p>M/DL/20/0109/11 Shri Saifuddin Ahmad, Asstt. Professor, Department of History, University of Delhi submitted that he has been harassed, victimized and discriminated in according pay protection for 2 and 1/2 years by the Principal, Ramjas College.</p> <p>Hearing dated 27.01.2012</p>	<p>The Commission recommended that the Ramjas College should now proceed without delay in settling the petitioner's dues, if any. The petitioner was advised to approach the Commission if delays/issues relating to the payment were to resurface.</p>	<p>The grievance of the petitioner with regard to fixation of pay was complied with as informed in the hearing and a Cheque of Rs.65,999/- was handed over to the petitioner which he accepted without pre-judice. The petitioner has not approached till date, as recommended in the decision notice.</p>
16.	<p>M/UP/30/0610/11 Shri Iftikhar-Uz-Zaman regarding abnormal delay in re-conveyance of the land of Amausi Airfield Lucknow by the Department of Defence Estate.</p> <p>Hearing dated 01.02.2012</p>	<p>The Commission decided to adjourn the hearing allowing thirty days' time to DGDE from the date of this decision, to file their reply. Thereafter, the Commission will decide further course of action.</p>	<p>In compliance of decision notice, the DGDE submitted reply which is being processed separately.</p>
17.	<p>M/KT/100/0035/11 Mrs. Dilshad Begum, Mangalore, Karnataka regarding transfer.</p> <p>Hearing dated 02.02.2012</p>	<p>The Commission on the facts was of the view that there appeared to be no discrimination by the department against Ms. Dilshad Begum. However, since petitioner could not be heard because of circumstances beyond her control, as she has alleged subsequent to the hearing, in a fax dated 2.2.12, having actually appeared at the appointed location but could not be heard because of technical malfunction, a copy of this interim order be provided to her to give her an opportunity to respond in writing within fifteen days of the receipt of this interim Decision Notice.</p>	<p>In response to the interim decision notice, the petitioner has submitted 02 representations which is being examined.</p>
18.	<p>F.No.N/DL/81/47/08-NCM Smt. Samina Parveen in connection with grant of Indian Citizenship</p> <p>Hearing dated 06.02.2012</p>	<p>The Commission advised the petitioner to deposit her Pakistani passport in the Pakistan Embassy under receipt and deposit the prescribed amount in the office of SDM, and thereafter submit the same with the GNCT of Delhi for onward submission to the Ministry of Home Affairs. Thereafter, final sanction will be granted to the petitioner by MHA within ten days of receipt of the documents, this time limit being recommended for the reason that the petitioner</p>	<p>The brief record of the hearing was forwarded on 23.2.2012, no report has been received so far.</p>

		has already suffered unduly. This case may also be brought to the notice of Secretary Ministry of Home affairs to ensure that systems be developed to ensure that such inconsiderate disposal is avoided.	
19.	M/BR/100/0070/11 Md. Imran Khalid, Ex-SE/Sig/KDPR C/o A.F. Md. Khalid, K.F.S. Block, Taldanga Housing Colony, PO-Sarsa Pahari, Distt. Dhanbad (Jharkhand) regarding communal bias and abuse by Shri Vijay Kumar Choudhary, Senior DSTE/Katihar, N.F. Railway. Hearing dated 9.2.2012	The Commission decided to defer the matter as it is sub-judice. The same can be taken cognizance of, if necessary, only after judgment is delivered by the Civil Court. In the meantime, the M/o Railways will provide to the Commission within ten days of the receipt of this decision notice, a copy of the Board of Inquiry report.	The brief record of the hearing was forwarded for ATR on 6.3.2012. Report is awaited. Matter closed.
20.	M/DL/30/0036/12 Ms. Niyazbibi Bannumiyan Malek, Ahmedabad (Gujarat) wherein it was alleged that he was living alongwith his family in village Ognaz, Tadaskroi, Ahmadabad till they were attached by mob in 2002. Hearing dated 12.03.2012	The Commission decided to adjourn the hearing. In the meanwhile, directed that:- (i) Learned Counsel for the Government of Gujarat will, within twenty days of receipt of this Decision Notice, submit his response to the petition, a copy of which was supplied in the hearing, with complete details and records, together with the response of concerned State authorities to the application of respondent Shri Sanjiv Bhatt. (ii) An affidavit be filed by Sh. Sanjiv Bhatt with any additional facts, within twenty days of receipt of this Decision Notice. (iii) Request for impleadment of counsel for Shri Dharmesh Prahladbhai Shukla, is irrelevant in the present case. S/Shri Kuldeep Sharma, the then range IG and M.C. Patel, Inspector of Police be summoned for examination on the next date of hearing. Next date of hearing will be intimated in due course, after taking into account State Government's response.	The brief record of the hearing was forwarded for ATR on 3.4.2012. Reply received and is under process.
21.	M/UP/30/0761/11 Shri Mohammed Ali S/o Shri Marhum	After taking into account issues and agreeing by majority that since the matter concerned a deeply distressed member	The brief record of the hearing was forwarded for ATR on

	<p>Pyare regarding disappearance of his son Mohabbat Ali for the last three years. It has been alleged that Shri Chaman Singh Chouhan, Inspector of Police (Daroga) has forcefully confined/detained his son. Hearing dated 19.03.2012.</p>	<p>of the minority community who appears to have been unjustly harassed, the Commission has decided as follows:- (i) A formal complaint be registered based on the allegations leveled by petitioner Shri Mohammed Ali S/o Shri Marhum Pyare on the failure of the Police Thana to take action on the complaint of petitioner, and specifically against Sh. Chaman Singh Chouhan, the then SI for lapses in discharging his duties and attempt to extort illicit monetary remuneration. SP Amit Chandra will initiate a formal enquiry under his personal supervision; identify the delinquent policemen responsible for 3 failure to act according to the requirements of the law, and inform this Commission of conclusions arrived at and action taken/proposed to be taken, within thirty days of the date of receipt of this Decision Notice. (ii) The Superintendent of Police, District Kheri, will intensify the search and report on the status of tracing Mohabbat Ali s/o petitioner Mohammed Ali within a period of two months. He will also keep ADGP (Human Rights), Government of UP duly apprised.</p>	<p>3.4.2012. Report is awaited.</p>
<p>22.</p>	<p>Misc/AP/81/21/11 The Commission took cognizance of the pending cases with the Government of Andhra Pradesh. A list of such cases was supplied to the State Government vide letter dated 01.03.2012. Hearing dated 21.03.2012</p>	<p>(i) The Commission directed the Secretary, Minorities Welfare Department to convey the above observations of the Commission to the Chief Minister and the Chief Secretary. (ii) To enable the State Government to report action taken on each of the issues highlighted in the correspondence, the Commission adjourned the hearing till the second week of April, 2012 with the directions that Chief Secretary shall remain present during the next hearing, which will be intimated separately, together with representatives of those organizations/departments concerned. (iii) The Commission requested for the specimen character certificate discussed in Para 6 be faxed/sent by scanner to NCM for the record of the Commission by April 6, 2012 of</p>	<p>The brief record of the hearing was forwarded for ATR on 4.4.2012.</p>

		receipt of this Decision Notice.	
23.	<p>M/DL/30/0036/12 Ms. Niyazbibi Bannumiyan Malek, Ahmedabad regarding attack by mob in 2002 Gujarat riots.</p> <p>With shri R.B. Sreekumar</p> <p>Hearing dated 02.04.2012</p>	<p>The written response dated 3.4.2012 has been received. The copy of this statement will be sent to learned counsel Shri Devang Vyas, Advocate for State of Gujarat and Shri Vijay, US, Home Dep't. Govt. of Gujarat, who will be asked to include this in the response of the government, which is awaited, as decided in Para (i) of the Decision Notice dated 12.03.2012. For this purpose learned counsel for the Govt. of Gujarat Shri Devang Vyas was allowed a further fifteen days than allowed in the cited Decision for submitting his response. A copy will also be supplied for her information to petitioner Ms. Niyazbibi Bannumiyan Malek.</p>	<p>The brief record of the hearing was forwarded for ATR on 7.5.2012. Report received and is under process.</p>
24.	<p>M/10/33/28/06-NCM Ms. Jamila Khatoon Baquai regarding custodian property matter.</p> <p>Hearing dated 12.4.2012</p>	<p>Respondent Land & Building Department was allowed time of one week from the date of hearing to report back to the petitioner under intimation to the Commission with regard to the status of the land which can be offered to her, and a further one week's time to petitioner Smt. Jamila Khatoon Baquai to accept from among the alternatives offered. GNCT of Delhi was also directed to report to the Commission in case they are not able to identify land free from any encumbrance within the time allowed.</p>	<p>The brief record of the hearing is being forwarded.</p>