

Cases heard by the Commission during 2019-2020

S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
1.	No.: M/HR/207/57/2018 Mohd Shariq, Faridabad, Haryana-121001. Date of hearing: 10.4.2019, 14.6.2019 & 12.7.2019	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Mohd. Shariq regarding harassment and forced termination by his employer M/s. Evalueserve, Gurgaon.</p> <p>The Commission, in view of the content of the petition sought a report from the respondent company. The respondent in its reply through their counsel denied the allegation made in the representation as false malicious and misleading.</p> <p>The petitioner in his rejoinder stated that the reply is incorrect, false and misleading. The petitioner has stated that his termination was illegal due to the biased and discrimination against the petitioner due to his religious affiliation. He has stated that he has served the respondent Company for the last 4-5 years to the best of his ability. He has stated that on 10th August, 2018 he marked an e-mail to AVP and PUP(HR) with regard to denial of the due/ appreciation and victimization despite his hard work with all responsibilities. However, on the same day he was threatened to either resign or to face termination through his Reporting Manager. He further alleged that his previous Reporting Manager and present VP of business unit denied any growth opportunity to him and any reward and recognition despite his exceptionally well performance. He alleged that last year the respondent changed his project on the basis of cost issue and when he raised his concern he was given two options either to resign or face termination.</p> <p>A report was given by M/s. Evalueserve. The Commission in view of the non-satisfaction of petitioner with the report and to resolve the issue decided to hold the hearing.</p>	<p>10.4.2019</p> <p>The Commission after hearing both the parties and in view of the affidavit and the minutes of the meeting filed by the respondent company as well as the contention of the petitioner directed the respondent company to be large hearted and reinstate the petitioner on the pay roles of last drawn salary. The minutes submitted by the company contained many suggestions put forward by different officers present in the meeting. There is a suggestion made by Sh. Nitin Garg, Vice President that pay role would be in a position to accommodate Sh. Shariq on previous drawn salary if needed. The Commission accept the recommendation of the Vice President of the company and direct the company to re-instate Sh. Shariq on the basis of the said recommendation on the same pay scale last drawn. The direction has been given under section 9(1)(d) of the NCM Act, 1992.</p> <p>14.6.2019</p> <p>The matter was adjourned.</p> <p>12.7.2019</p> <p>After hearing both sides, the Commission asked the respondent to consider the case of Petitioner sympathetically and help him out so that he can get employed by considering re-employment for some period on current salary, gratuity, appraisal rating so that he could be able to get suitable job elsewhere. The respondent assured the Commission to look into the matter sympathetically. The case has been kept in abeyance to enable the respondent to take decision and inform the Commission accordingly.</p>



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
2.	No.: C/MH/319/54/2016 Sh Jude D'Souza, Dilkush Terrace Mumbai- 400 050. Date of hearing: 10.4.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 19.4.2016 of Shri Jude D'Souza regarding harassment of his wife by the Dena Bank zonal office Mumbai and reinstatement at Bandra Branch as Chief Manager. In view of this, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.	10.4.2019 The Commission after hearing both the sides is of the opinion that the submission of the bank that the proceeding was delayed due to administrative difficulties is not a valid reason for violating the rules and regulations of its own organization by itself and deprive the human rights of an employee of its own bank. Ms. D'Souza was suspended on 28.3.2016 and her suspension was revoked on 16.9.2016 after almost six months. The bank has violated not only its own rules and regulations but also violated the guide lines provided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The contention of the petitioner that she was discriminated on the basis of her community could be accepted. In view of the above the complainant can avail the observation of this Commission for seeking further legal remedies before the appropriate forum/court of law.
3.	No.: S/DL/801/94/2018 Shri Mahender Singh, Delhi. Date of hearing: 11.4.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 24.7.2018 of the petitioner regarding harassment and humiliation at Dera Sahi Data Darbar, Delhi. In view of this, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.	11.4.2019 The Commission after hearing both the parties recorded that the petitioner Sh. Mahender Singh filed a complaint dated 13.6.2018 vide DD No.6213 wherein it was specifically mentioned that the petitioner was abused and castes remarks such as chamar was used. The police plea that there is a Supreme Court and High Court order about 2 independent witnesses is purportedly to hide the amendment by the Parliament of India in 2018 of SC Act. To mislead the Commission police stated that complainant Sh. Mahender Singh is a party in another case whereas that case is against Mahender Singh S/o Karnail Singh who is a different person. The Commission directed the ACP under section 9(1)(d) of NCM Act, 1992 to file an FIR against the accused persons and report this Commission within 15 days. The Commission on receipt of the report will decide further course of action.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
4.	No.: M/UP/319/727/2018 Smt. Nazia Afridi, District Mujaffarnagar, Uttar Pradesh. Date of hearing: 28.5.2019 and 5.7.2019	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Nazia Afridi, Distt. Muzaffarnagar, U.P. regarding non-action of the Forest Department on the application for renewal of lease of land.</p> <p>As the respondent authority did not submit reply, this Commission held a hearing on 29.01.2019.</p> <p>In view of this, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.</p>	<p>28.5.2019</p> <p>The Commission in view of the request of both the sides decided to adjourn the matter and directed the respondent to file an affidavit with regard to whether late Sufi Mohd Khushal and/or petitioner names were mentioned in the FIR, whether they are still accused, whether there was any conspiracy on the part of petitioner and/or late Sufi Mohd Khushal and any case with regard to citizenship against late Sufi Mohd Khushal was initiated.</p> <p>5.7.2019</p> <p>The Commission after hearing both the sides was of the view that on the allegation of violation of lease, the respondent have failed to establish that it was without the element of discrimination. The basic reason of denial was the police report which was not believed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and the respondent also failed to show any cogent reason for denial of renewal of lease although the respondent was referring to one letter of DM, Muzaffarnagar dated 23.04.2009 whereas the renewal was applied in 2003 from the period 2005. The alleged encroachment was removed in March, 2009, thereafter there is allegation of encroachment. The Commission is of the view that the decision was taken by the authority with prejudice against Khushal Mian, as evident the allegations are being modified from time to time. Shri Khushal Mian has a mass following as a religious person irrespective of religious affiliation. Shri Khushal Mian was a pious person and was maintaining the lease land for religious activities in terms of Clause 3 of the Lease Deed. In the description given in chart of the alleged violation which finds name of Khushal Mian, it is not mentioned whether the trees were cut within the leased area. It is of common sense that Khushan Mian cannot be held responsible for tree cuts in entire forest. It is also pertinent to see that the allegations of tree cut that had occurred in 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1997 and 2001</p>



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
			<p>were not found mentioned in the letter dated 23.04.2009 based on which it was proposed not to renew the lease. Shri Khushal Mian had applied in 2003 for renewal of lease likely to expire in 2005. Till 2010 when the lease was cancelled, the authority kept changing the ground of cancellation of lease for the reasons best known to them. The allegations leveled by the authority started with Confidential Police Report, Pakistani citizen, to encroachment of forest land to cutting of trees. This shows that the respondent authority was not impartial and fair while considering the case of renewal of lease.</p> <p>The Commission recommended the respondent authority to consider the case for renewal of the lease sympathetically without any ill will or prejudice against the petitioner.</p>
5.	No.: M/BR/318/11/2019 Mohd. Shams Shahnawaz, President, Sitamarhi Sangharsh Samiti, Bihar. Date of hearing: 12.6.2019	<p>The Commission received a representation from Mohd. Shams Shahnawaz, President, Sitamarhi Sangharsh Samiti with regard to custodial death of Shri Gufran and Shri Taseem Alam on 06.03.2019.</p> <p>Due to the gravity of the matter, the Commission decided to summon the District Magistrate and Superintendent of Police of Sitamarhi to present before the Commission. Hence, this hearing.</p>	12.6.2019 As no one was present on behalf of either the petitioner or the respondent on earlier date as well as on the present date, the Commission without going into the details of the matter decided to file the complaint. In view of the above, the petition is filed in this Commission.
6.	No.: M/UP/705/343/2017 Mr. S. S Shaukat Abdi, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh Date of hearing: 12.6.2019	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 04.08.2017 of Shri S.S Shaukat Abdi. The petitioner alleged that 200 years old Imambada was illegally demolished by land mafias in connivance with administration.</p> <p>In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.</p>	12.6.2019 The Commission decided to adjourn the matter and directed the respondent authorities to expedite the process of permission to prosecute from the concerned authority. The matter is kept in abeyance.
7.	No.: M/UP/603/104/2018 Shri S.T.H Naqvi, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh Date of hearing: 12.6.2019 and 13.11.2019	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Shri S.T.H Naqvi, Lucknow, U.P. The petitioner alleged that he was harassed, abused and mental tortured on the basis of religion by the authorities at Katihar branch of Abbott Healthcare Pvt. Ltd. He has further</p> <p>In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.</p>	12.6.2019 The respondent Shri Sachin Amdekar, Director BHR vehemently denied the allegation of discrimination and harassment of the petitioner. He submitted that the Company was compelled to take the decision due to several acts of omission and commission and misconduct on the part of the petitioner. The petitioner as well as the respondents during the hearing referred several incidents to show harassment



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
			<p>and discrimination and omission commission and misconduct, vice versa. The Commission at this stage without going into the details of the allegation and counter allegations between the petitioner and the respondent decided to again adjourn the matter with recommendation to the respondent Company to rethink/reconsider the case of the petitioner generously.</p> <p>13.11.2019</p> <p>After hearing both the sides, it was found that immediate higher officers i.e. Zonal Business Manager and Area Business Manager were having some antagonism/biasedness against the petitioner. The Commission failed to understand that why the petitioner started neglecting his official duties after 2014 whereas the respondent admits that the petitioner was doing well and was awarded by the respondent. The respondent does not deny the claim of the petitioner about the increase of sale of the products of Company from 35 thousand to 3.5 lakhs within a short span. It is beyond comprehension that a person like the petitioner who was aware of his responsibility towards his family as stated above could deliberately neglect his duty and provide an opportunity to his higher officers to harass him to the extent leading to his termination from service. Approaching concerned authorities including Courts for redressal of the grievance in accordance with law does not amount causing Company a bad name. Similarly, not allowing the petitioner to cross examine the Doctor during inquiry is against principle of natural justice. The Commission perceived it as discrimination against the petitioner who belongs to minority community.</p>
8.	<p>No.: M/UP/319/210/2019</p> <p>Smt. Sabiha Praveen, Barabanki, Uttar Pradesh</p> <p>Date of hearing: 13.6.2019</p>	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation received from petitioner dated 15.03.2019, regarding the closure of her petrol pump under the conspiracy by the Sales officer Shri Anirudh Gupta.</p> <p>In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.</p>	<p>13.6.2019</p> <p>The Commission in view of the submission decided to sought a report from the concerned District Magistrate to submit the videography conducted and the report of the team members with their signatures present at the time of raid at the petitioner's petrol pump.</p>



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
9.	No.: M/UP/200/191/2019 Smt. Nigar Praveen Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh Date of hearing: 13.6.2019 and 13.2.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation received from petitioner Smt. Nigar Praveen regarding establishment of Fuel station between Lucknow and Faizabaad Road. The petitioner alleged that despite completing the formalities as required and deposit of Rs. 1.50 lakh with HPCL, the Company has not finalized the allotment of fuel station.	13.6.2019 The Commission in view of the request of the respondent permitted them to file reply within two weeks on receipt of the proceeding note. The Commission granted four weeks time to the respondent to seek legal opinion from their Advocate and from the concerned authorities and decided to adjourn the hearing. 13.2.2020 The Commission after hearing both the sides and on the basis of the request of the respondent to vacate the stay granted in the matter of Smt. Nigar Parveen, vacated the stay and permitted the respondent HPCL to proceed accordingly and issue LOI in favour of the said Najma Praveen. The matter has been adjourned.
10.	No.: M/UP/60/0166/10 Shri Rafiq Ahmad, M/s. Aman Industries, Nawabganj, Bareilly. Date of hearing: 13.6.2019, 19.9.2019 and 14.11.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 18.06.2017 of Shri Rafiq regarding discrimination in the recovery of loan amount & confiscation of property of M/s Aman Industries by Bank of Baroda. The Commission held a hearing on 6.12.2017. In view of above, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.	13.6.2019 In view of the request of Shri Atul Kumar Bansal, Asstt. General Manager of Bank of Baroda, Bareilly, the Commission in the interest of justice decided to adjourn the hearing. It was decided that in case respondents did not appear, the matter will be decided in their absence. 19.9.2019 The Commission after hearing the petitioner and in view of the three consecutive adjournments in the matter sought by the bank is of the view that Shri Atul Kumar Bansal, AGM has some personal interest in the matter. The Commission was informed that office has already written to Secretary, Department of Financial Services about the absence of Shri Atul Kumar Bansal, AGM. It was decided that the Chairman of Bank of Baroda may also be summoned next time along with Sh. Atul Kumar Bansal, AGM. 14.11.2019 The Commission after hearing the matter and after appreciating the facts stated therein found that the while deciding to auction the property of the petitioner under the SARFAESI Act, 2002 the process of valuation was not done properly rather it was kept at a lower price of mere Rs.16.50 lakhs



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
			<p>whereas the value of the property was Rs. 31, 20,436/- in November, 2001 when the CC Limit of Rs.15 lakhs was availed. As per the petitioner he has already deposited an amount of Rs.12 lakhs till 2005. The report with regard to the valuation of the property in question was called by the Commission from the District Magistrate, Bareilly, U.P. According to the valuation report of the concerned department, the value of the property was Rs.63,09,000/- in the year 2008-09 and Rs.71, 94,000/- in the year 2009-2010 excluding plant and machineries when the auction of the property was done by the Bank. The Commission finds the complicity of the bank official in auction sale in favour of the sole auction purchaser of the property whose value was much more than the amount on which sale was concluded in the year 2010. The Commission perceived it as discrimination against a person belonging to minority community. It is a clear case of harassment and denial of natural justice. This finding of the Commission can be availed by the petitioner for taking further remedy.</p>
11.	No.: M/UP/309/334/2019 Mohd. Idris , Bulandshahar, Uttar Pradesh Date of hearing: 14.6.2019 and 25.8.2020	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation received from petitioner Shri Idris dated 23.04.2019. Petitioner has alleged that the SHO Sikandrabad is harassing and implicating the petitioner and his relatives in false case. The Commission decided to obtain a report from the Senior Superintendent of Police, Uttar Pradesh, vide letter dated 16.05.2019. But no reply has been received so far.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Commission has decided to hold a hearing.</p>	<p>14.06.2019</p> <p>The Commission, in view of the submissions of both the parties and in view of the request of the SSP, decided to adjourn the hearing for two months to enable the I.O. to complete investigation within the said period.</p> <p>25.08.2020</p> <p>The Commission after hearing all the parties directed that the petitioner will submit details of the proof of innocence of the above four accused persons to Shri Gopal Chaudhary, ASP. The Police on further inquiry will submit action taken report within 10 days to the Commission as to what action has been taken.</p>
12.	No.: B/UP/319/45/2017 Boudh Bhikshu Mahanam Thera, Village Hasanpur Bhowapur, (ChaudharySamay Singh Market),	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 15.01.2017 of Boudh Bhikshu Mahanam Thera, District Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh wherein petitioner alleged that the GDA has issued the notice to demolish the Maha Karuna Budh Vihar.</p>	<p>05.07.2019</p> <p>The Commission after hearing both the sides recommended that as there are several religious structures in and around the area, the demolition should not be carried on against the petitioner, Budh Vihar.</p>



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
	Near P.A.C. Gate No.1, Main Link Road, Tehsil & District Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh Date of hearing: 5.7.2019 and 7.2.2020	In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.	The petitioner was asked to approach the respondent GDA for renovation of Budh Vihar and the GDA will take appropriate action sympathetically in accordance with law. 7.2.2020 The Commission after hearing the parties directs the District Magistrate, Gaziabad to take immediate action in allowing permission to petitioner to renovate the Budh Vihar under Khasra Nos 268/3 and 277 and report to the Commission within a month. The direction has been given under section 9(1)(d) of the National Commission for Minorities Act, 1992.
13.	No.: S/DL/801/7/2018 Smt. Amarjit Kaur, Baird Road/Doctor Lane, New Delhi Date of hearing: 10.7.2019 and 12.12.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 17.01.2018 of Smt. Amarjit Kaur, W/o Late Sh. Ved Prakash, Alottee of CRT No.23 at Baird Road/Doctor Lane, New Delhi regarding long delay in transfer of Cycle Repairing Shop-CRT No. 23 at Baird Road/Doctor Lane in her name. In view of the above, it has been decided to hold another hearing.	10.7.2019 The Commission has taken the non-appearance on the part of the summoned official as serious moreso when there is no communication in this regard from NDMC. The Commission proposed to summon Ms. Rashmi Singh, Secretary, NDMC for the next date to be intimated in due course. 12.12.2019 The Commission in view of the submission of the parties and reply of the petitioner copy of which was handed over to the respondent authority, directed NDMC to consider the case of the petitioner and submit report at the earliest as the petitioner has been all along running from pillar to post since long.
14.	No.: J/MP/319/74/2018 Dr. G.C. Jain, Acharya Gyan Ayurved Foundation Trust, Jawahar Marg, District Indore, Madhya Pradesh Date of hearing: 10.7.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 06.07.2018 of Dr G.C. Jain, Acharya Gyan Ayurved Foundation Trust, Madhya Pradesh. The petitioner has stated that Acharya Gyan Ayurved Foundation Indore is a registered Public Trust. However, the Tehsildar Indore in connivance with Shri Govind Goyal had passed an order that part of the land belongs to Shri Govind Goyal and also demolished construction of the College in the name of encroachment. The petitioner approached the SDM and SDM ordered in favour of the petitioner. However, the Tehsildar has not complied with the SDM's order. In view of the above, the Commission has decided to hold a hearing.	10.7.2019 The respondent, District Magistrate was absent without any intimation in this regard. The Commission viewed it seriously, as the respondent District Magistrate, despite letters dated 27.8.2018 and 9.11.2018 of the Commission seeking report on the representation, has not sent any report. The Commission viewed it as deliberate action on the part of the respondent authority. The hearing was called as no report/reply has been received. In view of the position, the Commission decided to summon the Principal Secretary, Home, Government of Madhya Pradesh, and Commissioner of Indore range, District Magistrate, Indore and Sh. Bihari Singh, SDM on the next date of hearing.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
15.	No.: M/UP/705/306/2017 Smt. Naseem Bano, 15, Napier Road, Part-2, Ghas Mandi, Thakurganj, Lucknow Date of hearing: 10.7.2019 and 12.2.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 12.07.2017 of Smt. Naseem Bano, Lucknow. The petitioner alleged that 2400 Sq.ft of land was allotted to her in 1998. However, in 2004, LDA gave her possession of 1400 Sq.ft. and assured that rest would be handed over after removing illegal encroachment. This has not been done till date. In view of this, the Commission decided to hold another hearing.	10.7.2019 The Commission after hearing the parties was of the view that the contention of LDA to demolish the offending portion of the construction is a new fact which was not disclosed earlier by the LDA before the Commission. As the proposal is not in the interest of both the parties, it was suggested that Mr. Suhail compensate the petitioner to the amount she is entitled as per the record. Further, the LDA may not go for the new proposal of demolition as the same would be in detriment to the interest of both of them. Shri Suhail requested the Commission to grant him two months time so that he can discuss the matter and submit the proposal to this Commission. In view thereof, the Commission decided to adjourn the matter for two months to allow the parties to arrive at an amicable solution. 12.2.2020 As the respondent LDA is not present and they are necessary party to be heard to arrive at logical conclusion, thus the Commission decided to adjourn the matter for the next month.
16.	No.: M/UP/206/122/2010 Shri Syed Irfan Ali, (Advocate), Noida, Uttar Pradesh Date of hearing: 10.7.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 15.02.2019 of Shri Syed Irfan Ali, (Advocate), R/o Sector 134, J.P Greens, B/2, Klassic, Flat no. 108, Noida, Uttar Pradesh. The petitioner has alleged that he was terminated from service by the H.R department (Times Internet Limited. TBS) on 04/02/2019 without assigning any reason. He was subject to violence and harassment at the office. In view of this, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.	10.7.2019 The petitioner requested this Commission to allow him to file his response to the detailed reply dated 09.07.2019 filed by the Respondent. The Commission, in view of the request of the petitioner allowed him to file his response to the reply dated 9.7.2019. The Commission further decided to call the concerned Police Officer who was dealing with the complaint dated 7.2.2019 received in the Police Station as to why no action was taken on his complaint and decided to adjourn the hearing.
17.	No.: S/DL/319/36/2018 M.S. Randhawa, Dwarka, New Delhi. Date of hearing: 11.7.2019 and 15.1.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Shri M.S. Randhawa. The petitioner submitted that he owned a property at Khasra No. 1/18, Village Dhulsiras, New Delhi measuring 1008 sq. yards purchased in auction by Debt Recovery Tribunal, Delhi. He stated that local mafia, namely, Arvind constructed two storey building on part of petitioner's land.	11.7.2019 The Commission in view of the request of the parties directed respondent to file a detailed reply and the petitioner was given liberty to file rejoinder to the reply of the Department within one month. Meanwhile, all construction activities on the land in question should be stopped. The Commission decided to call the concerned SDM and adjourn the matter.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
		He alleged that BSES has also provided electricity connection in the illegal building. He further alleged that despite his complaint with Police, Electricity Department and MCD, no action has been taken by them. The petitioner alleged that the officials concerned are hand in gloves with the local land mafia. In view of this, the Commission decided to hold a hearing again.	15.1.2020 The Commission after hearing both the sides directed the SDM to demolish the unauthorized construction to the extent it was encroached on the property No. 1/18 of the petitioner and demarcate the same in accordance with the DMC Act. The SDM was also directed to file a report in this regard on or before 1 st March, 2020.
18.	No.: M/HR/701/36/2018 Shri Jungsher, R/o Kaliyawas Jhajjar, Haryana Date of hearing: 11.7.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the complaint of Sh. Jungsher alleging encroachment of Qabristan land situated in village Kaliyawas in the petition. It is alleged that the encroachers apart from illegally encroached the Qabristan land and are also not allowing dead bodies to be buried in the Qabristan.	11.7.2019 The Commission after hearing the respondent and in view of the demand of the petitioner, directed the respondent to construct boundary wall around the existing Qabristan along with additional 2 Kanals land earmarked for Qabristan so that no encroachment could take place in future. In view of the above, the matter stands disposed of.
19.	No.: M/PN/319/7/2019 Dr. A.R. Khan, Gursewa Institute of Science &Technology, Panam, Distt. Hoshiarpur, Punjab. Date of hearing: 11.7.2019	The Commission received a representation of Prof. (Dr.) A.R. Khan (Retired) dated 30.04.2019 forwarded by State Minority Commission, Punjab. The complainant alleged that after his retirement, VC constituted an Inquiry to be conducted by an employee who had worked under him against the rules and after his retirement, University has not released his retirement benefits till date. Due to this, he was unable to get his daughter married. His pension has also been stopped from the last 9 months. In view of this, Commission decided to hold a hearing	11.7.2019 The Commission directed VC to clear all the dues pertaining to Shri Khan within 15 days such as gratuity, leave encashment, GPF, GSLIS and EWF. The Commission also found after hearing the reply of the VC that religious bias has been committed against the petitioner by the respondent authority. The respondent failed to explain as to why the Enquiry Officer has not considered letter dated 17.09.2018 of Vyas Dev, Cook who had specifically stated that he has not given any bribe to Shri Khan nor Shri Khan has demanded any such bribe. The Commission took serious view in the matter and directed the respondent VC to report to the Commission in the next hearing. In case, the VC fails to adhere to the direction, appropriate action will be recommended against him.
20.	No.: M/HR/302/27/2019 Shri Adil Ahmad, 1570, Gurugram, Haryana Date of hearing: 12.7.2019, 16.10.2019, 12.12.2019 and 18.3.2020	Shri Adil Ahmad in his complaint alleged that the Noida police has been harassing him and his father in a matter which is sub-judice in the Civil Court. He further submitted that the matter if any is a civil dispute and there was no criminal angle in the case. He submitted that the Court declined to direct the police to register FIR. He alleged that despite all these acts bringing to the notice of the concerned police,	12.7.2019 After hearing both the parties, the Commission is of the clear impression that it is a case of harassment against the member of a minority community. It is also seen that despite the order dated 14.09.2016 of the Session Court, the police proceeded to register FIR against the complainant on 25.11.2016.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
		<p>they have not taken any consideration of the same and proceeded to register FIR against him and under the garb of this FIR, they have been harassing him and his aged father.</p> <p>In view of this, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.</p>	<p>It appears that the police registered an FIR even against the order of the District & Session Court. The Commission also found that the police was not in a position to explain as to why the notice under Section 160 of Cr Pc was not issued against the petitioner. It was also found that the police was not in a position to explain under which Rule, even against the order of the Session Court, they have power to register an FIR except the explanation that FIR was registered after seeking permission from the SSP. The Commission in these circumstances decided to summon the then SSP, Gautam Budh Nagar and adjourn the hearing.</p> <p>16.10.2019</p> <p>The Commission in view of the discussion/submission directed police to abide by the order dated 06.07.2016 of the Ld Civil Judge (Junior Division)/ J.M. Gautam Buddh Nagar, U.P. in Criminal Complaint No. 127/2016 and file closer report within 1 (one) month.</p> <p>12.12.2019</p> <p>The Commission in view of the submission of the petitioner directed the petitioner to file the court case documents and thereafter will take a view. The matter has been adjourned accordingly.</p> <p>18.3.2020</p> <p>The petitioner in his representation alleged that he and his father who is senior citizen are being harassed by the police.</p> <p>The respondent S.S.P. (Headquarter) requested the Commission vide letter dated/mail dated 17.03.2020 to adjourn the matter due to law and order situation and Carona Virus as the presence of the concerned officer at the headquarter was essential.</p> <p>The Commission in view of the request of the respondent decided to adjourn the matter. The next date of hearing will be intimated in due course.</p>
21.	<p>No.: M/HR/319/37/2019</p> <p>Smt. Reena w/o Shri Tasim, Karnal, Haryana</p> <p>Date of hearing: 30.7.2019</p>	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the complaint dated NIL of Smt. Reena, inter alia, alleging that one Shaukin and two other anti-social elements caught hold of her, beat her, torn her clothes and tried to outrage her modesty.</p>	<p>30.7.2019</p> <p>The Commission after hearing the respondent found that the police has not properly and fairly investigated the case and it appears that the</p>



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
			police is under influence of the accused persons. The Commission decided to summon Shri Yogendra Narang, Zonal IG; Dy. SP, Indri; Dy. SP (HQ) along with the I.O. on the next date of hearing. As the petitioner was not available, the Commission was pleased to adjourn the matter.
22.	No.: M/MH/206/67/2018 Shri Amaan Khan, Pune, Maharashtra Date of hearing: 28.5.2019 and 28.8.2019	The representation dated 9.6.2018 was received by the Commission through transfer from Ministry of Minority Affairs. In the representation, the petitioner stated that he has been harassed by his employer on religious grounds. In view of this, the commission decided to hold a hearing.	28.5.2019 Adjourned the hearing 28.8.2019 The Commission, after hearing the respondent with regard to proceeding pending before the Labour Court decided to keep the proceeding in abeyance till the final decision of the Labour Court.
23.	No.: S/PN/402/58/2018 Shri Surinder Singh, Ferozepur City (Punjab) Date of hearing: 28.8.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation of the petitioner, inter-alia, stating that he applied for loan on Rs. 50 lakh under Stand Up India Scheme with the Bank of India, Ferozepur Branch. He alleged that the Branch Manager, Shri Subhash Mishra refused to sanction loan despite submission of all relevant documents. He further alleged that the loan is not being sanctioned as he belongs to Sikh minority community and he was also insulted by Branch Manager. In view of this, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.	28.8.2019 The respondent, Shri Mishra vouched for his good behavior and submitted that the same can be ascertained from the customers of the branch. He has an impeccable career so far. It was also submitted that 65% of the loan is granted by the Ferozepur branch to the minority community. Shri Khurana, AGM, BOI submitted that it is the policy/training of the employees of bank that the customer is the prime thing for the bank and in the absence of customers, the bank cannot survive. The employees of the bank are trained to pay high respect to the customers approaching the branches of the bank. Shri Khurana submitted that although it is vehemently denied that any misbehavior with regard to the religious attire of the petitioner was committed as alleged, however, to cut short all the controversy and/or allegation/counter allegation, suggested that in case the petitioner has been hurt by the conduct of the Branch Manager along with Shri Mishra, offered an unconditional apology so that the dispute may end and requested the petitioner to apply for the loan afresh. The Commission, in view of the submission of the respondent, accepted the contention of the respondent and asked the petitioner to submit fresh application for loan to the Branch Manager and the same shall be processed in accordance with the rules of the bank. The matter before the Commission stands closed in view of the above.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
24.	No.: M/UP/705/195/2019 Maulana Mehmood Jafar Rizvi, Distt. Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. Date of hearing: 29.8.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation received from the Shri Maulana Mehmood Jafar Rizvi dated 06.03.2019 regarding illegal grabbing of his land by mafia. In view of this, the Commission has decided to hold a hearing.	29.8.2019 Shri Rajeev Rai, SDM sought time to file reply to petition. He stated that the above mentioned plot falls within the Aabadi Area and it is very difficult to carry out measurement/survey. In view of the request of the Respondent, 15 days time was granted to file the reply. The matter was, therefore, adjourned also as the petitioner was not available due to illness as informed by his Counsel.
25.	No.: M/UP/107/401/2014 Dr. Shamim Ahmad, Director Translam Group of Institutions, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, Date of hearing: 29.8.2019	Hearing was held on 11.01.2016 in which the Commission had recommended that the issue may be settled by the State of Uttar Pradesh and the respondent may make disbursement of the pending amount which the petitioner is entitled for the session 2009-10 and 2010-11 within 3 months from the date of these recommendations. No action has been taken by the concerned authorities for fee reimbursement and the amount is yet to be released. In view of the above, the Commission has decided to hold a hearing.	29.8.2019 The Commission after hearing both the parties recommended the respondent to file the schemes/circulars pertaining to 2009-10 and 2010-11 and also reply to the petition of the petitioner as the respondent has so far not filed any such document.
26.	No.: M/AP/801/5/2019 Khwaja Garib Nawaz Educational Society, Kangala Village, Cherukupally, Mandal Gyuntur District, Andhra Pradesh Date of hearing: 29.8.2019 and 19.9.2019	The petitioner, Khwaja Garib Nawaz Educational Society, Gantoor Andhra Pradesh, have applied for the Term Loan of Rs 3.50 Cr and infrastructure loan of Rs 0.75 lakhs for construction of blocks for College of Education from Indian Bank Tenali Branch in the Month of October 2018. It was informed by the petitioner that the, Indian Bank, Tenali Branch raised irrelevant queries. A report was called for from the Chief Manager, Indian Bank, Tenali vide this Commission's letter of even number dated 17.7.2019, no reply/report received. In view of this, the Commission has decided to hold the hearing.	29.8.2019 In view of the request of the respondent to file the reply and as the petitioner was not present, decided to adjourn the matter. The next date would be intimated in due course. 19.9.2019 The Commission decided, in view of the serious allegation against Shri Bal Mohan, former Chief Manager of the Tenali branch of Indian Bank who has been transferred to Zonal office, Vellore, Tamil Nadu 15 days back as informed by the petitioner, for scheduling the next date of hearing at an early date. Sh.Bal Mohan, Chief Manager, The General Manager, Zonal Office, Hyderabad Bank and N. Sanjeevan, Chief Manager of the Tenali branch of the Indian Bank be summoned. Accordingly the matter has been adjourned to the next month.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
27.	No.: M/UP/319/471/2019 Shri Mohammad Boo Ali, 466, Village & Post Jarcha, Pargana and Tehsil Dadri, Police Station, Jarcha, District Gautam Budh Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. Date of hearing: 29.8.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation received from the Shri Mohammad Boo Ali dated 19.07.2019. The petitioner has alleged that the land mafia in connivance with the Administration is trying to grab his property forcefully. In view of the above, the Commission has decided to hold a hearing.	29.08.2019 The Commission after hearing all the parties recommended the petitioner to seek a clarification from the Hon'ble Court with regard to the status quo. The Commission directed the SDM and/or District Magistrate not to interfere with the position and help any party till the disposal of the case under Section 145 Cr.PC which was remanded by the Hon'ble High Court and pending before the learned ADJ II, Gautam Budh Nagar. After the decision of the Court, the Commission will hear the case. The Commission also directed both petitioner and the applicant, Shanno alias Quaizer ul Islam and the respondent Administration to produce/file all relevant and necessary documents before the next date of hearing and decide to adjourn the matter.
28.	No.: B/MH/801/47/2018 Shri Sandeep Jibhe Nabhikiya Urja Bhavan, Central Avenue Road, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400094. Date of hearing: 18.9.2019	The Commission has taken cognizance of the representation dated 10.5.2018 filed by the petitioner regarding denial of land for Buddha Vihar (worship place) to the Buddhist by the NPCIL authority at Tarapur. The petitioner has submitted that the NPCIL management although allotted land for construction of temple, masjid, church, OM Shanty spiritual counseling center Brahmakumari, but the Buddhist community has been denied despite several representation to the NPCIL Tarapur to provide land to them for their religious practices. In view of this, the Commission has decided to hold a hearing.	18.09.2019 The Commission after hearing both the parties is of the view that it is a discrimination with the petitioner and directed the NPCIL to consider the application of the petitioner organization qua allotment of land and direct the concerned official to take appropriate action and allot plot for the religious purpose or a portion of a constructed building at NPCIL, not less than 500 sq. ft. and report to the Commission within six months.
29.	No.: S/DL/319/38/2018 Shri Harminder, President, Sant Longowal Foundation, Delhi Date of hearing: 19.9.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation received through an e-mail along with some facebook photoshot regarding threat to Shri Harminder Singh reminding to get ready for 1984 by one Vivek Sibal. In view of above, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.	19.9.2019 The Commission directed the respondent authority to enquire/ investigate the matter in accordance with law and submit a report within 2 months as such kind of incidents are frequently taking place in the social media which has adverse affect on the society and causing distrust within the communities.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
30.	No.: M/UP/403/601/2018 Shri Mohd. Raees Khan & Others, Radhika Trading Company & Shubi Enterprises, Urwa Maurawan Distt., Unnao, U.P. Date of hearing: 19.9.2019, 14.11.2019 and 12.2.2020	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Shri Raees Khan, R/o Radhika Trading Company, Shubi Enterprises, Purva Maurawa, Dist. Unnao, U.P. The petitioner alleged that he has sold Basmati Grain to the Company Shakti Bhog Food Ltd. but the company did not paid the outstanding amount to the petitioner. He has further stated that the cheque he received from the Company has got bounced.</p> <p>In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.</p>	<p>19.9.2019</p> <p>The Commission asked the Counsel appeared for Shri Kewal Kishan Kumar the reason of his absence despite summon without any intimation about his non-appearance. Ms. Saha submitted that Shri Kumar is not well and he has been advised rest. Ms. Saha, however, requested to adjourn the matter for 10 days. She also submitted that the petitioner has not contacted the Counsel representing Shri Kumar. When the Commission asked Ms. Saha that who will negotiate, is it the Advocates or the person against whom the allegation of non payment has been made by the petitioner, Ms. Saha instead of giving reply to the query was evasive in her response. The Commission took the conduct of the respondent as unfair and is of the view that Shri Kumar is avoiding appearance and at the same time, the Counsel representing him has also not been properly briefed by Shri Kumar.</p> <p>The Commission in view of the above decided to adjourn the hearing as requested by his Counsel with direction to Shri Kumar to be present and to respond to the proposal discussed between the petitioner and Shri Sumit Sagar.</p> <p>14.11.2019</p> <p>The Commission decided to adjourn the hearing and to send summon through SP, Gurgaon to ensure/enforce the attendance of Shri Kumar on the date as fixed by the Commission.</p> <p>12.2.2020</p> <p>As both the parties were absent, the Commission decided to adjourn the case till further order. As the advocates representing KK Kumar have withdrawn from the proceedings they should not be called from now onwards. Now the notice should be issued to respondent through SSP Jhajjar, Haryana.</p>



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
31.	No.: S/UK/207/32/2019 Ms. Kawal Preet Sukhija, Senior Manager, Union Bank of India, Patel Nagar Branch, Patel Nagar, Dehradun - 248 001 Date of hearing: 19.9.2019 and 13.2.2020	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation of the petitioner, Ms. Kawalpreet Sukhija, Senior Manager, Union Bank of India, Patel Nagar Branch, Patel Nagar, Dehradun- 248 001 regarding harassment at her work place.</p> <p>In view of the above, the Commission has decided to hold a hearing.</p>	<p>19.9.2019</p> <p>After hearing both the sides, the Commission directed the Bank to file affidavit giving reasons as to why no appropriate action was taken against the persons who questioned the modesty of the complainant. The SHRC of the bank has found that Shri Navjeet Kumar used abusive language in the presence of the bank staff which have been recorded in the report of the Committee. Based on it, an appropriate action be taken against the respondent, Navjeet Kumar. From the report of the witnesses present, the Commission is of the view that the modesty of the complainant was insulted as per the provision of IPC. The bank is directed to file an affidavit within a month and within the same period, Shri Rajesh Bhatt and Capt. Dileep Gulati are also directed to file their statements regarding the incident. Status quo may be maintained.</p> <p>13.2.2020</p> <p>The Commission in view of the apology tendered by the respondent, Shri Nawjeet Kumar and the same being accepted by the petitioner, Ms. Kawalpreet Sukhija, decided to close the complaint filed by the petitioner against all the respondents mentioned in the complaint. Both the sides undertook not to file/pursue any complaint in respect of the incident dated 11.06.2019 before any authority. Accordingly, the matter has been closed in the Commission.</p>
32.	No.: M/DL/705/132/2019 Maulana Qasim Zaidi, Shahi Imam, Jor Bagh, New Delhi. Date of hearing: 03.10.2019	<p>Commission took cognizance of the representation of Maulana Qasim Zaidi, Shahi Imam, Jor Bagh, New Delhi dated 25.9.2019. In the representation, the petitioner alleged that Shri Amanatullah Khan with his henchman and two other members namely Shri Himal Akhtar and Mrs. Razia Sultan of Delhi Waqf board have looted the Waqf Properties. It is alleged that due to mafia like behavior of the above three persons, the honest officials and members of the Waqf Board are scared of them and do not have courage to object to the illegal activities and misappropriation of waqf properties and assets.</p>	<p>03.10.2019</p> <p>The Commission acceded to the request of SDM (Kotwali) and Shri Sandeep J. Jaques. The Commission after hearing the parties directed the Delhi Police to provide security to Ms. Naeem Fatima Kazmi, Member, Delhi Waqf Board due to threat of her life. It is also directed that police to ensure that members of the Anjuman-e-Haidari should not be allowed to be disturbed from discharging their duties in the affairs of the Qarbala, Jor Bagh, New Delhi till the matter is pending in the Commission.</p>



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
		The petitioner requested the Commission to take cognizance of the illegal activities and loot of Waqf properties and assets and the concerned officials may be directed to ensure that the CEO, other officials and members of the Board may discharge their official duties.	It was also decided that in view of the complaint and discussion above, the concerned police may also be called in the next meeting.
33.	No.: M/JH/319/5/2019 Shri Sohrab Ali, Ekda, Patralaya, Basajoda District Dhanbad, Jharkhand. Date of hearing: 17.10.2019	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 12.09.2019 of Shri Sohrab Ali. The petitioner stated that he is working with BCCL as a fitter. He is a General Secretary of Rashtrawadi Mazdoor Union and he is covered under the protected workman. Despite this, he has been transferred to some other place which is against Industrial Dispute Act. In view of the above, the Commission has decided to hold a hearing.	17.10.2019 The Commission after hearing both sides found that the complaint of Shri Sohrab Ali, regarding discrimination is genuine. The respondent appeared on behalf of the Chairman of the BCCL, sought time to give reply about the decision of the appellate authority/Gen. Manager Kusanda area with regard to para-7 of the said order wherein it was mentioned that the concerned workman does come within the purview of protected workman. The Company is directed to file reply within one month and the petitioner was also allowed one month time to file rejoinder. In the meanwhile, status quo be maintained.
34.	No.: B/MH/319/34/2019 Shri Prafulla S.W. Hadekar, Sindhi Colony, Dhamangaon Railway Colony, District Amravati, Maharashtra. Date of hearing: 17.10.2019 and 13.12.2019	The petitioner submitted that the Respondent, ONGC had published advertisement for selection of candidates for the post of Assistant Technician (Electrical) besides other. He submitted that as per advertisement, the candidate should have possessed with diploma in Electrical Engineering with certificate of competency as Electrical Supervisor at the time of online registration by 05.04.2013. However, ONGC deliberately did not follow the eligibility criteria and favoured candidates due to which he was left out.	17.10.2019 The Commission after hearing both the sides granted one month time to the Respondent ONGC to file a reply explaining the detailed procedure of selection of the candidates for the post of Assistant Technician (Electrical). 13.12.2019 The Commission after hearing both the sides directed the petitioner to file an amended petition making explicit prayer to this Commission. The Commission also directed the respondent to bring/file the entire details of the examination and interview and the marks obtained by the candidates. In view of this, the matter has been adjourned.
35.	No.: S/RJ/605/34/2015 Shri Balwant Singh, B-10, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi- 110070. Date of hearing: 13.11.2019 and 12.12.2019	The NCM took cognizance of the complaint filed by the petitioner alleging desecration and vandalisation of Gurudwara Sahib at Bana Singh ki Dhani, Village Bafee, Tehsil Mundawar, District Alwar.	13.11.2019 The District Magistrate, Alwar vide email received on 11.11.2019 informed the Commission that due to election of City Council/Municipality, the presence of the District Magistrate at the Headquarter is necessary and, therefore, requested the Commission to exempt him from the hearing.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
			<p>In view of above, the Commission decided to adjourn the hearing to December, 2019.</p> <p>12.12.2019</p> <p>The Commission after hearing decided to direct the Collector to maintain status quo of Khasra No. 308. It is also decided that a delegation of NCM will visit the site for on the spot verification. It is recommended that the Collector, Alwar will ensure his presence.</p>
36.	<p>No.: M/DL/319/140/2019</p> <p>Shri Mohd. Akbar, s/o Shri Ghulam Bani, R/o B-476, Gate No. 20, Khajuri Khas, Delhi – 110 094.</p> <p>Date of hearing: 14.11.2019, 12.12.2019 and 15.1.2020</p>	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 29.09.2019 with regard to demarcation of ancestral property/land situated at Kilokari.</p>	<p>14.11.2019</p> <p>The Commission directed the petitioner to submit application with relevant documents of ownership in accordance with the provisions of Delhi Land Revenue act. The Revenue official will carry out demarcation procedure within one month and submit a report in this regard to this Commission. The matter has been kept in abeyance.</p> <p>12.12.2019</p> <p>In view of the submissions made by the respondent, the Commission decided to adjourn the matter till the survey/demarcation process is completed.</p> <p>15.1.2020</p> <p>The Commission based on the statement made by the petitioner directed the respondent SDM to complete the formalities of payment of estimated cost as mentioned in the hearing dated 12.12.2019 and get the demarcation process complete at the earliest opportunity.</p>
37.	<p>No.: S/HP/801/3/2018</p> <p>Shri Parvinder Singh, Chaudhary Medical Store, Dhalpur Kullu, Kullu-17510, Himachal Pradesh.11</p> <p>Date of hearing: 11.12.2019</p>	<p>The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 22.06.2018. It was alleged that his shop-cum-house was burnt due to fire. There are 9 plot holders were allotted shops by Municipality and he was deprived and not even compensation paid.</p>	<p>11.12.2019</p> <p>The Commission heard both the sides. The respondent agreed to allot space to the petitioner but there arise a dispute about the spot which was supposed to be allotted. In view of it, the Commission decided that two Members of the Commission (vis. Shri Manjit Singh Rai and Shri Atif Rasheed) will visit Kullu and convene a meeting of the petitioner and respondents to find a suitable place to be allotted to the petitioner. The respondent has been directed to identify three spots which could be shown to the Hon'ble Members on their visit.</p>



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
38.	No.: M/UP/319/810/2019 Dr. Mutraza Ali Athar, Asst. Professor, R/o Khwaja Moinuddin Chishti University, Distt.- Lucknow, U.P. Date of Hearing: 11.12.2019 and 16.01.2020		11.12.2019 Petitioner was not present and Lucknow police submitted a report. Matter was adjourned. 16.1.2020 As the petitioner was not present for the second time, the Commission took it seriously and decided to adjourn the matter and if the petitioner fails to appear in the next hearing, the case would be decided on merit.
39.	No.: M/UP/319/624/2019 Shri Aas Mohammad, S/o Shri Nasim Ahmed, R/o Mohalla Kanoon Goyan, Muslim, Village & P.S. Purkazi, Distt. Muzaffarnagar, U.P. Date of hearing: 12.12.2019 and 12.02.2020	The Commission took cognizance of a representation wherein the petitioner submitted that according to the FIR, it is Bhura alias Aas Mohammad of Mohalla Kassawan and not Aas Mohammad of Mohalla Kanoon Goyan Muslim (the petitioner) and his name was falsely implicated due to political differences.	12.12.2019 The Commission in view of the submission made by the petitioner prima facie was of the view that this case appears to be of mistaken identity. The Commission took it seriously that the respondent SSP neither appeared nor intimated in this regard or authorized any officer to be present on the date. The petitioner controverted the police report. The Commission took exception to the non-appearance of the SSP decided to ask the IG, Human Rights to ensure the presence of SSP on the next date of hearing. 12.2.2020 The Commission after hearing both the sides is of the view that as the matter is sub-judice before the Court of law and also the petitioner could not prove his argument before the Commission. The petitioner can seek the remedy in Court of law. The matter is disposed off accordingly.
40.	No.: S/UK/801/1/2015 Shri Harjeet Singh Dua, Chairman, Gurudwara Gyan Godri Prabandhak Committee, Panchpuri, Haridwar, Regd. Sant Bhawan Arya Nagar, Jwalapur-249407, Haridwar, Uttarakhand. Date of hearing: 15.01.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Shri H.S. Dua, Chairman, Gurudwara Gyan Godri Prabandhak Committee, Panchpuri, Haridwar regarding discrimination and harassment faced by the Sikh community by the Government of Uttarakhand by not allotting and handing over land in lieu of the acquisition and demolition of historic Gurudwara Gyan Godri by the Administration for beautification of Har ki Paudi in the year 1978. It was informed that although land was earmarked for allotment to the petitioner and the petitioner has accepted the same, it was not handed over to the Committee.	15.1.2020 The respondent Shri Shailendra Singh Negi, Deputy Collector informed the Commission that he has been authorized by the District Magistrate to appear before the Hon'ble Commission. He informed the Commission that a meeting was convened on 08.01.2020 in connection with resolution of issue Gurudwara Gyan Godari under the chairmanship of Hon'ble Minister of Urban Development, Govt. of Uttarakhand. In the meeting it was decided that Hon'ble Chief Minister, Hon'ble Minister, Urban Development, Uttarakhand and Chief Secretary,



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
		At the same time, the Department has allotted land to other institution but the Sikh community has been deprived of the same treatment.	Uttarakhand will discuss the matter of allotment of land with the Hon'ble Chief Minister, Uttar Pradesh and the Chief Secretary, Uttar Pradesh, Government. It was also informed that the next meeting has been fixed on 15.02.2020. He also informed that a writ petition being PIL was filed before the Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand by one Shri Gurudeep Singh Sahota, R/o GMS Road, Dehradun for providing land at District Haridwar for construction of Gurudwara Gyan Godari where Union of India, Ministry of Minority Affairs, Director Archeological Survey of India, State of Uttarakhand through Chief Secretary, Uttarakhand, Secretary Irrigation Department, Uttarakhand, D.M. Haridwar, Chief Secretary, State of U.P. and Principal Secretary Irrigation Dept. U.P. are the respondents. In view of the submissions by Respondent, the Commission decided to depute Shri Manjit Singh Rai, Member and Shri Atif Rasheed, Member in Charge of Uttarakhand to attend the said meeting on relocation by Government of Uttarakhand and visit sites proposed by the Petitioner and respondent.
41.	No.: M/HR/705/54/2017 Shri Harphul Khan Bhatti, 20, Chandralan, Patel Nagar, Hisar, Haryana. Date of hearing: 16.01.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation dated 20.12.2017 of Shri Harful Khan Bhatti, 20, Chandralan, Patel Nagar, Hisar, Haryana regarding removal of encroachment from dargha and qabristan. In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.	16.1.2020 The Commission took exception to the non-appearance of the Deputy Commissioner, Hissar neither any communication in this regard. The Commission after hearing the petitioner and in view of the reply of the Deputy Commissioner, Hissar directed the Department to complete the formalities and allot at least 2 kila of land to the petitioner for the purpose of Qabristan as the Muslim community is facing serious problem to perform the last rites of the dead persons. The Commission also asked the respondent Deputy Commissioner to consider the case sympathetically and on humanitarian ground. The matter has now been adjourned to provide time to the authority to complete the formalities so that at least 2 kila of land which was offered by Sajjada Nashin be given to Muslim community.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
42.	No.: B/MH/207/60/2018 Shri Bhaskar Vithal Bagool &Ors. Plot No. -6, Jay Bhim Nagar, Rameshwar Road, Nagpur-440027, Maharashtra. Date of hearing: 16.1.2020 and 16.3.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Shri Bhaskar Vithal Bagool with regard to illegal termination of security personnel by the Container Corp. of India on 01.02.2009. In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.	16.1.2020 The Commission took the conduct of the respondent seriously as he was not cooperative in explaining about appeals. The Commission directed the respondent Corporation to file affidavit as to why they have not complied with order of High Court so far within a month. The petitioner is directed to file all orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matters so that an appropriate view could be taken. The Commission in view of the request of the respondent decided to adjourn the matter. The next date of hearing will be intimated in due course. 16.03.2020 That as the matter is pending before Hon'ble Supreme Court, the Commission decided to adjourn the matter till the outcome of the case. However, in the meantime the respondent Corporation as their corporate social responsibility is suggested to take a sympathetic view and try to engage the petitioners in any of their depots and inform the Commission.
43.	No.: M/DL/207/5/2019 Shri Anwar Khan, S/o Munshi Khan, R/o Vill. Chhawla, P.O. Chhawla, Delhi. Date of hearing: 16.01.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Shri Anwar Khan regarding illegal and forceful termination of his service as Asst. Sub. Inspector (ASI) on false ground. In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.	16.1.2020 As none was present on behalf of the respondent SP, Ajmer, the Commission decided to adjourn the matter asking Superintendent of Police, Ajmer to be present on the next date of hearing with reply to representation of the petitioner.
44.	No.: M/HR/603/1/2018 Shri Babban Zaidi, H.No. 803, Sector -2, District Panchkula, Haryana. Date of hearing: 16.01.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Shri Babban Zaidi. In the representation the petitioner alleged that on 05.10.2018 Shri Gurunam Singh and Shri Trilok came to his shop bearing No. 93, Sector- 15 with Police and vandalized his shop, torn the religious poster pasted at the walls of the shop, took away tube lights, fans etc., broke wooden fittings. It is also alleged in the representation that they uttered against the Muslim Community that they will not be allowed to live in Panchkula. In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.	16.1.2020 As the petitioner was not present despite the summon issued on the address mentioned in the representation, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing his representation and hence the Commission decided to file his complaint and close the case.
45.	No.: M/UP/319/666/2019 Mohd. Adil, R/o 57/55, Tilpurva, Hussain Ganj, Distt. Lucknow, U.P.	The Commission took cognizance of the representation received on 23.09.2019 regarding land grabbing by mafia and inaction by authorities. In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.	12.2.2020 The Commission after hearing both the sides directed the respondent to bring the record pertaining to khatauni since 19.01.2000 till date along with the entry of



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
	Date of hearing: 12.2.2020 and 18.3.2020		Kamal Narain Shukla in khatauni and mutation order pertaining to the same. As the petitioner stated with regard to threat to his life by the said B.K. Aggarwal, the Commission decided to call SP (Rural), Lucknow for the next date of hearing 18.3.2020 As the respondents were not present neither there were any communication in this regard from the sides of the District Magistrate and the SSP, the Commission decided to adjourn the matter. The Commission decided to call the District Magistrate, Lucknow Shri Abhishek Prakash and also summon concerned SDM to appear on the next date.
46.	No.: M/UP/207/144/2018 Shri Mohd. Yusuf, R/o 4/396, Near Vijay Cinema, Sanjay Garg Mantri, Chakrota Road, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 247 001. Date of hearing: 13.2.2020	The Commission received a representation from Mohd. Yusuf, Proprietor, Aatika Construction regarding non-payment of balance amount from the TDI Company and OMAXE Company, New Delhi. In view of the above, it has been decided to hold a hearing.	13.2.2020 Order The Commission directed the petitioner to submit copy of agreement, statement showing the details of payment received, amount due, work done and the work timeline. The Commission in view of the same, decided to adjourn the hearing. A copy of the complaint and other details were provided to the respondent.
47.	No.: M/UP/705/566/2019 Shri Aijaz Ahmad, r/o Village Mohliya Block Hathgaon, Tehsil Khaga, District Fatehpur, Uttar Pradesh. Date of hearing: 13.2.2020	The petitioner submitted that Madarsa Iram Fatma Memorial Girls School & Madarsa Fakruddin Memorial Schools are fraudulently run by one Abdul Rehman. It is alleged that the said two Madarsas have been fraudulently recognized by the Uttar Pradesh Madarsa Education Parishad. The petitioner Aijaz Ahmad requested the Commission to recommend police to register case against the said Abdul Rehman.	13.2.2020 After hearing both the sides, the Commission found that the Madarsas are registered with the concerned authority as per the law. As the petitioner failed to establish allegations made in the complaint, the Commission, therefore, decided to dismiss the petition.
48.	No.: M/UP/319/652/2019 Shri Imran, S/o Shri Iqbal, R/o Village Bhaupur, Thana Kutubsher, District, Saharanpur, Uttar Pradesh Date of hearing: 13.2.2020	The petitioner submitted that he alongwith his son Saif Ali while on their way to get admission of his son in a college at Saharanpur, Munavvar s/o Akbar and Sher Ali S/o Asgar attacked them with axe, sword and lathi. The petitioner stated that he and his son received serious injuries and were referred by the doctor at Saharanpur to PG Hospital, Chandigarh. He alleged that despite complaint, the police has not taken any action and instead registered a case on the behest of Munavvar and Sher Ali against the petitioner and his son.	13.2.2020 The Commission in view of the compromise arrived at between the parties and in view of the report of the police, decided to dispose off the case.



S. No.	File No., Name, Address of the Petitioner Date of hearing	Brief of the case	Decision of the Commission in the hearing
49.	No.: M/UP/801/840/2019 Shri Ishtiyag Ahmad, r/o Mohalla Chaudhariyan Ujhari, District Amroha – 244 242, U.P. Date of hearing: 16.3.2020	The petitioner stated that he was working as Principal of Al-Ameen Abdullah Inter College, Dhanpur District Amroha, U.P. However, the Management Committee illegally removed him from the post of Principal	16.3.2020 The Commission after hearing both the sides directed the respondent to file detailed affidavit indicating whether Mohd. Nadir Ali took permission from the College Management to complete PG degree if he was a regular teaching staff or took leave to appear and write his examinations and reasoned explanation for relieving Shri Ishtiyag Ahmad from the post of Principal, before the Commission.
50.	No.: B/UP/605/277/2018 Shri Bhikshu Gyaneshwar, Myanmar Temple, Kushinagar, Uttar Pradesh. Date of hearing: 16.3.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the respective representation of the petitioners dated 9.5.2018 and 31.1.2019 respectively. It is alleged in the representations that Kushinagar Bikshu Sangh was allotted 40 acre land for the livelihood of the Bauddh Bikshus in 1947 in Vill. Siswa, District Kushinagar, UP. This piece of land was in the possession of Kushinagar Bhishu Sangh from 1947 to 2012. It is alleged that the then District Magistrate has taken over the land from Bikshu Sangh in 2012 and allotted to the Gram Sabha. It is stated that till 2012 the land in question was shown in the name of the Bikshu Sangh, Khushinagar in the Khatauni. In view of report, the Commission decided to hold a hearing.	16.03.2020 The Commission took the non-appearance of District Magistrate, Kushinagar or any senior officer seriously as sending a junior officer is not in the fitness of the matter. The Commission after hearing both the sides directed Director, ASI to construct the gate between Mahaparinirvan and the Myanmar Temple within three months. The Commission also directed the District authority to help the petitioner to get the status quo order modified/amended by the Hon'ble High Court and hand over the same to the petitioner's Trust as there was no other claimant in the name of Bhikshu Sangh Kushinagar. The Commission also directed the ASI to hand over the 5 acres of land in their occupation to the petitioner after getting the same demarcated. The Commission also directed the ASI to remove the board put at the gate regarding prohibition to the devotees. The Commission further direct ASI to construct toilet, washroom and display boards for the convenience of the tourists and the devotees. The compliance report of the all the directions may be submitted within three months to the Commission.
51.	No.: S/UK/319/49/2019 Shri Amir Singh Virk S/o Karnail Singh, R/o Village-Gadarpura, Tehsil- Gadarpur, District Nainital-263152, Uttarakhand Date of Hearing: 18.3.2020	The Commission took cognizance of the representation of Shri Amir Singh Virk S/o Karnail Singh, R/o Village-Gadarpura, Tehsil- Gadarpur, District Nainital-263152 regarding harassment of petitioner and his son by S.I. Balvinder Singh, of P.S. Gadarpur.	18.03.2020 The Commission after hearing both the sides is of the view that as the matter is sub-judice before the Hon'ble Court and also it is not a minority issue decided to dispose of the representation.